

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Applications for New Awards; Asian American and Native
American Pacific Islander-Serving Institutions Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Education (Department) is issuing a notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2023 for the Asian American and Native American Pacific Islander-Serving Institutions (AANAPISI) Program, Assistance Listing Number 84.031L. This notice relates to the approved information collection under OMB control number 1840-0798.

#### DATES:

Applications Available: [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: [INSERT DATE 120 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: For the addresses for obtaining and submitting an application, please refer to our Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the *Federal Register* on December 7, 2022 (87 FR 75045), and available at

www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-26554. Please note that these Common Instructions supersede the version published on December 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pearson Owens, U.S.

Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, room

2B109, Washington, DC 20202-4260. Telephone: (202) 453-7997. Email: Pearson.Owens@ed.gov.

If you are deaf, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability and wish to access telecommunications relay services, please dial 7-1-1.SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Full Text of Announcement

### I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The AANAPISI Program provides grants to eligible institutions of higher education (IHEs) to enable them to improve and expand their capacity to serve Asian American and Native American Pacific Islander students. Institutions may use these grants to plan, develop, or implement activities that strengthen the institution's services leading to student success.

Background: While the population of Asian American and Pacific Islanders (AAPI) continues to grow on college campuses, research shows these students are least likely to seek help when it comes to both academic and social emotional supports. For example, research shows that two out of 10 AAPI college students experiencing a mental

health issue receives treatment.¹ To combat these problems, this competition includes two competitive preference priorities aimed at providing comprehensive student supports. Additionally, the competition includes a priority that seeks to encourage applicants to rethink how to promote student success by providing wraparound services, utilizing data systems that help target student needs, and coordinating and partnering with other organizations that address student success outcomes.

Priorities: This notice contains two competitive preference priorities. The priorities are from the Secretary's Supplemental Priorities and Definitions for Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the Federal Register on December 10, 2021 (86 FR 70612) (Supplemental Priorities).

Competitive Preference Priorities: For FY 2023 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, these priorities are competitive preference priorities. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), we award up to an additional 5 points to an application for each priority, depending on how well the application meets the priorities. Applicants may respond to one or both priorities, for a total of up to 10 additional points.

 $^{1}$  Nathan Stewart, Supporting the health and well-being of Asian American and Pacific Islander college students.

https://timely.md/blog/supporting-aapi-students-and-communities/

Applicants that address one or both of the competitive preference priorities must include in the one-page abstract submitted with the application a statement indicating that they have done so. If the applicant has addressed one or both competitive preference priorities, this information must also be listed on the AANAPISI Program Profile Form in the application booklet.

These priorities are:

Competitive Preference Priority 1: Meeting Student Social, Emotional, and Academic Needs (up to 5 points).

Projects that are designed to improve students' social, emotional, academic, and career development, with a focus on underserved students, by creating a positive, inclusive, and identity-safe climate at IHEs through one or more of the following activities:

- (a) Fostering a sense of belonging and inclusion for underserved students.
- (b) Implementing evidence-based practices for advancing student success for underserved students.
- (c) Providing evidence-based professional development opportunities designed to build asset-based mindsets for faculty and staff on campus and that are inclusive with regard to race, ethnicity, culture, language, and disability status.

Competitive Preference Priority 2: Increasing

Postsecondary Education Access, Affordability, Completion,
and Post-Enrollment Success (up to 5 points).

Projects that are designed to increase postsecondary access, affordability, completion, and success for underserved students by addressing one or more of the following priority areas:

- (a) Increasing postsecondary education access and reducing the cost of college by creating clearer pathways for students between institutions and making transfer of course credits more seamless and transparent.
- (b) Increasing the number and proportion of underserved students who enroll in and complete postsecondary education programs, which may include strategies related to college preparation, awareness, application, selection, advising, counseling, and enrollment.
- (c) Establishing a system of high-quality data collection and analysis, such as data on persistence, retention, completion, and post-college outcomes, for transparency, accountability, and institutional improvement.
- (d) Supporting the development and implementation of student success programs that integrate multiple comprehensive and evidence-based services or initiatives, such as academic advising, structured/guided pathways,

career services, credit-bearing academic undergraduate courses focused on career, and programs to meet basic needs, such as housing, childcare, transportation, student financial aid, and access to technological devices.

Note: Under 34 CFR 607.10(c)(13), grantees may not use funds awarded under this program to pay directly for childcare and transportation expenses.

<u>Definitions</u>: The definitions below are from 34 CFR 77.1 and the Supplemental Priorities.

<u>Evidence-based</u> means the proposed project component is supported by evidence that demonstrates a rationale.

Demonstrates a rationale means a key project component included in the project's logic model is informed by research or evaluation findings that suggest the project component is likely to improve relevant outcomes.

English learner means an individual who is an English learner as defined in section 8101(20) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended, or an individual who is an English language leaner as defined in section 203(7) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.

Evidence-based means the proposed project component is supported by evidence that demonstrates a rationale.

Logic model (also referred to as a theory of action)
means a framework that identifies key project components of
the proposed project (i.e., the active "ingredients" that

are hypothesized to be critical to achieving the relevant outcomes) and describes the theoretical and operational relationships among the key project components and relevant outcomes.

Note: In developing logic models, applicants may want to use resources such as the Regional Educational Laboratory Program's (REL Pacific) Education Logic Model Application, available at

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/rel/regions/pacific/pdf/ELMUserGuid eJune2014.pdf. Other sources include:

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/pacific/pdf/REL 2014
025.pdf,

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/pacific/pdf/REL 2014
007.pdf, and

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/northeast/pdf/REL\_20
15057.pdf.

Project component means an activity, strategy, intervention, process, product, practice, or policy included in a project. Evidence may pertain to an individual project component or to a combination of project components (e.g., training teachers on instructional practices for English learners and follow-on coaching for these teachers).

Relevant outcome means the student outcome(s) or other outcome(s) the key project component is designed to improve, consistent with the specific goals of the program.

<u>Underserved student</u> means a student in postsecondary education in one or more of the following subgroups:

- (a) A student who is living in poverty or is served by schools with high concentrations of students living in poverty.
  - (b) A student of color.
  - (c) An English learner.
  - (d) A migrant student.
- (e) A student without documentation of immigration status.
- (f) A student who is the first in their family to attend postsecondary education.
- (g) A student enrolling in or seeking to enroll postsecondary education for the first time at the age of 20 or older.
- (h) A student who is working full-time while enrolled in postsecondary education.
- (i) A student who is enrolled in or is seeking to enroll in postsecondary education who is eligible for a Pell Grant.
- (j) An adult student in need of improving their basic skills or an adult student with limited English proficiency.

English learner means an individual who is an English learner as defined in section 8101(20) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended, or an

individual who is an English language leaner as defined in section 203(7) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1059g (title III, part A, of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA)).

<u>Note</u>: Projects will be awarded and must be operated in a manner consistent with the nondiscrimination requirements contained in Federal civil rights laws.

Note: In 2008, the HEA was amended by the Higher Education Opportunity Act of 2008 (HEOA), Pub. L. 110-315. Please note that the regulations for the AANAPISI Program in 34 CFR part 607 have not been updated to reflect these statutory changes.

Applicable Regulations: (a) The Education Department
General Administrative Regulations in 34 CFR parts 75, 77,
79, 82, 84, 86, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The Office of
Management and Budget Guidelines to Agencies on
Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in
2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as regulations of
the Department in 2 CFR part 3485. (c) The Uniform
Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit
Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as
adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2
CFR part 3474. (d) The regulations for this program in 34
CFR part 607. (e) The Supplemental Priorities.

### II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grants. Five-year Individual Development Grants and Cooperative Arrangement Development Grants will be awarded in FY 2023.

Note: A cooperative arrangement is an arrangement to carry out allowable grant activities between an institution eligible to receive a grant under this part and another eligible or ineligible IHE, under which the resources of the cooperating institutions are combined and shared to better achieve the purposes of this part and avoid costly duplication of effort.

Estimated Available Funds: \$5,623,365.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards in subsequent years from the list of unfunded applications from this competition.

# Individual Development Grants:

Estimated Range of Awards: \$350,000-\$400,000 per year.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$375,000 per year.

<u>Maximum Award</u>: We will not make an award exceeding \$400,000 for a single budget period of 12 months.

Estimated Number of Awards: 12.

# Cooperative Arrangement Development Grants:

Estimated Range of Awards: \$450,000-\$500,000 per year.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$475,000 per year.

Maximum Award: We will not make an award exceeding
\$500,000 for a single budget period of 12 months.

Estimated Number of Awards: 3.

 $\underline{\text{Note}}$ : The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 60 months.

### III. Eligibility Information

- 1. (a) Eligible Applicants: To qualify as an eligible institution under the AANAPISI Program, an institution must be--
- (i) Accredited or pre-accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association that the Secretary has determined to be a reliable authority as to the quality of education or training offered;
- (ii) Legally authorized by the State in which it is located to be a junior or community college or to provide an educational program for which it awards a bachelor's degree; and
- (iii) Designated as an "eligible institution," as defined in 34 CFR 600.2, by demonstrating that it has (1) an enrollment of needy students as described in 34 CFR 607.3, and (2) low average educational and general expenditures per full-time equivalent (FTE) undergraduate student as described in 34 CFR 607.4.

Note: The notice announcing the FY 2023 process for designation of eligible institutions, and inviting applications for waiver of eligibility requirements, was published in the Federal Register on January 17, 2023 (88)

FR 2611). Only institutions that the Department determines are eligible, or which are granted a waiver under the process described in that notice, may apply for a grant in this program.

At the time of submission of their applications, applicants must certify their total undergraduate headcount enrollment and that 10 percent of the IHE's enrollment is Asian American or Native American Pacific Islander. An assurance form, which is included in the application materials for this competition, must be signed by an official for the applicant and submitted.

b. Relationship between the Title III, Part A
Programs and the Developing Hispanic-Serving Institutions
(HSI) Program:

A grantee under the Developing HSI Program, which is authorized under title V of the HEA, may not receive a grant under any HEA, title III, part A program. The title III, part A programs are the Strengthening Institutions

Program, the Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities

Program, the AANAPISI Program, the Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions Program, the Native American-Serving Nontribal Institutions Program, and the

Predominantly Black Institutions Program. Furthermore, a current Developing HSI Program grantee may not give up its

Developing HSI Program grant in order to be eligible to receive a grant under the AANAPISI Program or any title

III, part A program as described in 34 CFR 607.2(g)(1).

An eligible HSI that is not a current grantee under the Developing HSI Program may apply for a FY 2023 grant under all title III, part A programs for which it is eligible, as well as receive consideration for a grant under the Developing HSI Program. However, a successful applicant may receive only one grant as described in 34 CFR 607.2(g)(1).

c. <u>Individual Development and Cooperative Arrangement</u>
Grants:

An eligible IHE that submits applications for an Individual Development Grant and a Cooperative Arrangement Development Grant in this competition may be awarded both in the same fiscal year. However, we will not award a second Cooperative Arrangement Development Grant to an otherwise eligible IHE for an award year for which the IHE already has a Cooperative Arrangement Development Grant under the AANAPISI Program. A grantee with an Individual Development Grant or a Cooperative Arrangement Development Grant may be a subgrantee in one or more Cooperative Arrangement Development Grants. The lead institution in a Cooperative Arrangement Development Grant must be an eligible institution. Partners or subgrantees are not required to be eligible institutions.

2. a. <u>Cost Sharing or Matching</u>: This program does not require cost sharing or matching unless the grantee

uses a portion of its grant for establishing or improving an endowment fund. If a grantee uses a portion of its grant for endowment fund purposes, it must match those grant funds with non-Federal funds (20 U.S.C. 1057(d)(1)-(2)).

- b. <u>Supplement-Not-Supplant</u>: This program involves supplement-not-supplant funding requirements. Grant funds must be used so that they supplement and, to the extent practical, increase the funds that would otherwise be available for the activities to be carried out under the grant and in no case supplant those funds (34 CFR 607.30).
- 3. <u>Subgrantees</u>: A grantee under this competition may award subgrants to entities to directly carry out project activities described in its application.
- IV. Application and Submission Information
  - 1. Application Submission Instructions:

Applicants are required to follow the Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the Federal Register on December 7, 2022 (87 FR 75045), and available at www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-26554, which contain requirements and information on how to apply. Please note that these Common Instructions supersede the version published on December 27, 2021.

2. <u>Intergovernmental Review</u>: This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part

- 79. Information about Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs under Executive Order 12372 is in the application package for this program.
- 3. <u>Funding Restrictions</u>: We specify unallowable costs in 34 CFR 607.10(c). We reference regulations outlining funding restrictions in the <u>Applicable</u>
  Regulations section of this notice.
- 4. Recommended Page Limit: The application narrative is where you, the applicant, address the selection criteria that reviewers use to evaluate your application. We recommend that you (1) limit the application narrative to no more than 50 pages for Individual Development Grants and no more than 65 pages for Cooperative Arrangement Development Grants and (2) use the following standards below. If you are addressing one or both competitive preference priorities, we recommend that you limit your response to no more than an additional 6 pages total, 3 additional pages for Competitive Preference Priority 1 and 3 additional pages for Competitive Preference Priority 2. Please include a separate heading when responding to one or both competitive preference priorities.
- $\bullet\,$  A "page" is 8.5" x 11", on one side only, with 1" margins at the top, bottom, and both sides.
- Double-space (no more than three lines per vertical inch) all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and

captions as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs.

- Use a font that is either 12 point or larger, and no smaller than 10 pitch (characters per inch).
- Use one of the following fonts: Times New Roman, Courier, Courier New, or Arial.

The recommended page limit does not apply to the cover sheet; the budget section, including the narrative budget justification; the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract and the bibliography. However, the recommended page limit does apply to all of the application narrative.

Note: The Budget Information-Non-Construction Programs

Form (ED 524) Sections A-C are not the same as the

narrative response to the Budget section of the selection

criteria.

### V. Application Review Information

1. Selection Criteria: The selection criteria for this competition are from 34 CFR 75.210. Applicants should address each of the selection criteria separately for each proposed activity. The selection criteria are worth a total of 100 points; the maximum score for each criterion is noted in parentheses. An applicant that also chooses to address the competitive preference priorities can earn up to 110 points.

- (a) <u>Need for project</u>. (Maximum 20 points) The Secretary considers the need for the proposed project. In determining the need for the proposed project, the Secretary considers:
- (1) The magnitude of the need for the services to be provided or the activities to be carried out by the proposed project. (10 points)
- (2) The extent to which the proposed project will focus on serving or otherwise addressing the needs of disadvantaged individuals. (5 points)
- (3) The extent to which specific gaps or weaknesses in services, infrastructure, or opportunities have been identified and will be addressed by the proposed project, including the nature and magnitude of those gaps or weaknesses. (5 points)
- (b) Quality of the project design. (Maximum 25 points) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers:
- (1) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable. (10 points)
- (2) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs. (5 points)

- (3) The extent to which the proposed project demonstrates a rationale (as defined in this notice). (10 points)
- (c) <u>Quality of project services</u>. (Maximum 10 points)

  The Secretary considers the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project.
- (1) In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the Secretary considers the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability. (3 points)
  - (2) In addition, the Secretary considers:
- (i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to the needs of the intended recipients or beneficiaries of those services. (3 points)
- (ii) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project reflect up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice. (4 points)
- (d) <u>Quality of project personnel</u>. (Maximum 10 points) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.
- (1) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant

encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability. (3 points)

- (2) In addition, the Secretary considers:
- (i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director or principal investigator. (4 points)
- (ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel. (3 points)
- (e) Adequacy of resources. (Maximum 5 points) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project. In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers:
- (1) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project. (3 points)
- (2) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project. (2 points)
- (f) Quality of the management plan. (Maximum 15 points) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project. In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers:
- (1) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within

budget, including clearly defined responsibilities,
timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.
(5 points)

- (2) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project. (5 points)
- (3) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring highquality products and services from the proposed project. (5 points)
- (g) Quality of the project evaluation. (Maximum 15 points) The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers:
- (1) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project. (10 points)
- (2) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible. (5 points)
- 2. Review and Selection Process: We remind potential applicants that in reviewing applications in any discretionary grant competition, the Secretary may

consider, under 34 CFR 75.217(d)(3), the past performance of the applicant in carrying out a previous award, such as the applicant's use of funds, achievement of project objectives, and compliance with grant conditions. The Secretary may also consider whether the applicant failed to submit a timely performance report or submitted a report of unacceptable quality.

In addition, in making a competitive grant award, the Secretary requires various assurances, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

A panel of three non-Federal reviewers will review and score each application in accordance with the selection criteria. A rank order funding slate will be made from this review. Awards will be made in rank order according to the average score received from the peer review and from the competitive preference priority addressed by the applicant.

In tiebreaking situations for development grants, under 34 CFR 607.23(b), we award 1 additional point to an application from an IHE that has an endowment fund of which the current market value, per FTE enrolled student, is less than the average current market value of the endowment funds, per FTE enrolled student, at comparable type

institutions that offer similar instruction. We award 1 additional point to an application from an IHE that has expenditures for library materials per FTE enrolled student that are less than the average expenditure for library materials per FTE enrolled student at similar type institutions. We also add 1 additional point to an application from an IHE that proposes to carry out one or more of the following activities:

- (1) Faculty development.
- (2) Funds and administrative management.
- (3) Development and improvement of academic programs.
- (4) Acquisition of equipment for use in strengthening management and academic programs.
  - (5) Joint use of facilities.
  - (6) Student services.

For the purpose of these funding considerations, we use 2019-2020 data.

If a tie remains after applying the tiebreaker mechanism above, priority will be given to applicants that have the lowest endowment values per FTE enrolled student.

# 3. Risk Assessment and Specific Conditions:

Consistent with 2 CFR 200.206, before awarding grants under this competition the Department conducts a review of the risks posed by applicants. Under 2 CFR 200.208, the Secretary may impose specific conditions and, under 2 CFR 3474.10, in appropriate circumstances, high-risk conditions

on a grant if the applicant or grantee is not financially stable; has a history of unsatisfactory performance; has a financial or other management system that does not meet the standards in 2 CFR part 200, subpart D; has not fulfilled the conditions of a prior grant; or is otherwise not responsible.

4. Integrity and Performance System: If you are selected under this competition to receive an award that over the course of the project period may exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently \$250,000), under 2 CFR 200.206(a)(2) we must make a judgment about your integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards—that is, the risk posed by you as an applicant—before we make an award. In doing so, we must consider any information about you that is in the integrity and performance system (currently referred to as the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)), accessible through the System for Award Management. You may review and comment on any information about yourself that a Federal agency previously entered and that is currently in FAPIIS.

Please note that, if the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from the Federal Government exceeds \$10,000,000, the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, require you to report certain integrity information to

FAPIIS semiannually. Please review the requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, if this grant plus all the other Federal funds you receive exceed \$10,000,000.

- 5. <u>In General</u>: In accordance with the Office of Management and Budget's guidance located at 2 CFR part 200, all applicable Federal laws, and relevant Executive guidance, the Department will review and consider applications for funding pursuant to this notice inviting applications in accordance with:
- (a) Selecting recipients most likely to be successful in delivering results based on the program objectives through an objective process of evaluating Federal award applications (2 CFR 200.205);
- (b) Prohibiting the purchase of certain telecommunication and video surveillance services or equipment in alignment with section 889 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2019 (Pub. L. No. 115-232) (2 CFR 200.216);
- (c) Providing a preference, to the extent permitted by law, to maximize use of goods, products, and materials produced in the United States (2 CFR 200.322); and
- (d) Terminating agreements in whole or in part to the greatest extent authorized by law if an award no longer effectuates the program goals or agency priorities (2 CFR 200.340).
- VI. Award Administration Information

1. Award Notices: If your application is successful, we notify your U.S. Representative and U.S. Senators and send you a Grant Award Notification (GAN); or we may send you an email containing a link to access an electronic version of your GAN. We may also notify you informally.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements:
We identify administrative and national policy requirements
in the application package and reference these and other
requirements in the Applicable Regulations section of this
notice.

We reference the regulations outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the <u>Applicable Regulations</u> section of this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. Open Licensing Requirements: Unless an exception applies, if you are awarded a grant under this competition, you will be required to openly license to the public grant deliverables created in whole, or in part, with Department grant funds. When the deliverable consists of modifications to pre-existing works, the license extends only to those modifications that can be separately identified and only to the extent that open licensing is

restrictions on the use of pre-existing works.

Additionally, a grantee or subgrantee that is awarded competitive grant funds must have a plan to disseminate these public grant deliverables. This dissemination plan can be developed and submitted after your application has been reviewed and selected for funding. For additional information on the open licensing requirements please refer

## 4. Reporting:

to 2 CFR 3474.20.

- (a) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the competition. This does not apply if you have an exception under 2 CFR 170.110(b).
- (b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.

- 5. <u>Performance Measures</u>: The Secretary has established the following key performance measures for assessing the effectiveness of the AANAPISI Program:
- (a) The percentage of first-time, full-time degree-seeking undergraduate students at 4-year AANAPISIs who were in their first year of postsecondary enrollment in the previous year and are enrolled in the current year at the same AANAPISI.
- (b) The percentage of first-time, full-time degree-seeking undergraduate students at 2-year AANAPISIs who were in their first year of postsecondary enrollment in the previous year and are enrolled in the current year at the same AANAPISI.
- (c) The percentage of first-time, full-time degree-seeking undergraduate students enrolled at 4-year AANAPISIs who graduate within 6 years of enrollment.
- (d) The percentage of first-time, full-time degree-seeking undergraduate students enrolled at 2-year AANAPISIs who graduate within 3 years of enrollment.
- 6. Continuation Awards: In making a continuation award under 34 CFR 75.253, the Secretary considers, among other things: whether a grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget; and, if the Secretary has established performance

measurement requirements, whether the grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the performance targets in the grantee's approved application.

In making a continuation award, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

VII. Other Information

Accessible Format: On request to the program contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. You may access the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published

in the Federal Register, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

# Nasser H. Paydar,

Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education. [FR Doc. 2023-06583 Filed: 3/29/2023 8:45 am; Publication Date: 3/30/2023]